

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The C exam .....	1
1.2	This book .....	2
1.3	Overview of training for Paper C.....	3
1.4	Invitation to comment .....	4
<b>2</b>	<b>EPC1973 VS. EPC2000.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>GENERAL ISSUES .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Instructions to candidates for preparing their answers .....</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1.1	I. General provisions	7
3.1.2	II. Paper C	8
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Marking.....</b>	<b>10</b>
3.2.1	General guidance on how the Examination Committee approaches marking	11
3.2.2	Facts and Arguments	12
3.2.3	Equality of features	14
3.2.4	Marking in practice	15
<b>4</b>	<b>WHICH ATTACKS TO PERFORM .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>TIME MANAGEMENT OF THE ATTACKS - GOAL-ORIENTED SEARCHING .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>THE CLAIMS: INTERPRETATION, DETERMINING THE CLAIMED OBJECT .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Role of the claims and the description; general principle of interpretation .....</b>	<b>29</b>
6.1.1	The claims, scope of protection	29
6.1.2	General approach to interpreting a claim	30
<b>6.2</b>	<b>When to do ‘claim interpretation’ .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Typical examples of claim interpretation .....</b>	<b>33</b>
6.3.1	“Optional” features	33
6.3.2	‘Limitative’ claim formulation (“consisting”)	33
6.3.3	‘About/approximately’ or similar claim formulation	34
6.3.4	Use of relative terms with no well-defined meaning in the field	34
6.3.5	Entity/product claim defined by intended use or function (“for/use” features)	35
6.3.6	Entity/product defined by reference to another entity or by “in”.	37
6.3.7	Entity/product defined by the way it is produced (product-by-process claim)	38
6.3.8	Entity/product defined by parameters	38
6.3.9	Broad/vague claims, broad/vague features	39
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Identifying the claimed object .....</b>	<b>41</b>

<b>7</b>	<b>CONTENT OF AN APPLICATION – AMENDMENT, PRIORITY, NOVELTY.....</b>	<b>43</b>
7.1	What is part of the content of an application, drawings.....	43
7.2	What is not part of the content of an application.....	44
7.3	What may be part of the content of an application .....	45
<b>8</b>	<b>PRIORITY – SUBSTANTIVE ASPECTS .....</b>	<b>47</b>
8.1	General concept .....	47
8.2	Time Line.....	48
8.3	Hints on priority problems.....	50
8.4	Examining ‘same invention’ issue.....	52
8.4.1	Determining the original embodiments	53
8.4.2	The ‘same invention’ tests	54
8.5	Examining ‘first application’ issue .....	58
8.6	Determining the effective date(s) of each claim, multiple priorities.....	60
8.7	Priority of a dependent claim .....	62
8.8	Claim-document map.....	63
8.9	Date of a claim with added subject-matter.....	64
8.10	Priority for a divisional application.....	66
8.11	Priority of a claim including a disclaimer.....	67
<b>9</b>	<b>ADDED SUBJECT-MATTER – SUBSTANTIVE ASPECTS.....</b>	<b>69</b>
9.1	General concept .....	69
9.2	Tactics for examining ‘added subject-matter’ .....	71
9.3	Tests for examining added subject-matter .....	72
9.4	Added subject-matter for a divisional application .....	76
9.5	Introducing a disclaimer as an amendment.....	77
9.6	Performing the attack in the Facts and Arguments .....	79
<b>10</b>	<b>ART.52(2) ‘INVENTION’ ISSUES, NON-TECHNICAL FEATURES.....</b>	<b>81</b>
10.1	Discoveries .....	84
10.2	Scientific theories .....	85
10.3	Mathematical methods.....	85

<b>10.4</b>	<b>Aesthetic creations .....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>10.5</b>	<b>Schemes, rules and methods for performing mental acts, playing games or doing business.....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>10.6</b>	<b>Computer programs/software .....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>10.7</b>	<b>Presentation of information.....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>METHODS FOR TREATMENT/DIAGNOSTICS.....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>11.1</b>	<b>Scope of the exclusion .....</b>	<b>89</b>
11.1.1	Methods for treatment	91
11.1.2	Diagnostic methods	92
<b>11.2</b>	<b>Tactics .....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>THE PRIOR ART - ADMISSIBILITY .....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>12.1</b>	<b>State of the art - General.....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>12.2</b>	<b>State of the art – relevant date, ‘too late documents’ .....</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>12.3</b>	<b>State of the art – admissibility, analysability/visibility.....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>12.4</b>	<b>State of the art – available to the public, confidentiality, secrecy .....</b>	<b>99</b>
12.4.1	Available – Gain access, number of people present	99
12.4.2	Available – Skills of the public	100
12.4.3	Available – Confidentiality, secrecy	101
<b>12.5</b>	<b>Admissibility of the opposition – indication of facts, evidence and arguments ....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>12.6</b>	<b>Disclosure by means of a written description .....</b>	<b>104</b>
12.6.1	General principle	104
12.6.2	The prior art is a letter	104
12.6.3	Availability of books, journals, etc.	105
12.6.4	Examination flow	106
<b>12.7</b>	<b>Disclosure by means of an oral description .....</b>	<b>106</b>
12.7.1	Principle	106
12.7.2	Lecture followed by written report	107
12.7.3	Examination flow	108
<b>12.8</b>	<b>Disclosure by use.....</b>	<b>108</b>
12.8.1	Principle	109
12.8.2	Examination flow	109
12.8.3	Public prior use (or similar “publications”) – attacks	111
<b>12.9</b>	<b>Disclosure ‘in any other way’, e.g. through the Internet.....</b>	<b>111</b>
12.9.1	Principle	111
12.9.2	Examination flow	114
<b>12.10</b>	<b>Art.54(3) Prior rights.....</b>	<b>115</b>
12.10.1	Background and overview	115
12.10.2	Legal provisions	117
12.10.3	Transitional provisions EPC2000	118
12.10.4	Tactics	120

<b>12.11</b>	<b>Non-prejudicial disclosure</b> .....	<b>121</b>
12.11.1	Legal provision	121
12.11.2	General reasons for not meeting Art.55	121
12.11.3	Evident abuse	122
12.11.4	International exhibition	123
<b>12.12</b>	<b>Enabling disclosures</b> .....	<b>123</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>THE PRIOR ART - SUBSTANTIVE</b> .....	<b>125</b>
<b>13.1</b>	<b>Interpreting/construing the prior art</b> .....	<b>125</b>
<b>13.2</b>	<b>Matching prior art features to claim features</b> .....	<b>126</b>
<b>13.3</b>	<b>Reading the annexes</b> .....	<b>128</b>
13.3.1	Reading the documents – General	128
13.3.2	Further document cited in an Annex	129
13.3.3	'Conventional', 'common', 'usual'	129
13.3.4	'Similar effect'	129
<b>13.4</b>	<b>Genus-species, lists, numerical ranges</b> .....	<b>129</b>
13.4.1	Genus – species (GL C-IV 9.5)	130
13.4.2	List (GL C-IV 9.8)	130
13.4.3	Numerical Ranges (C-IV 9.8)	131
<b>13.5</b>	<b>Product-by-process claims</b> .....	<b>136</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>NOVELTY/INVENTIVE STEP ISSUES</b> .....	<b>141</b>
<b>14.1</b>	<b>Multiple embodiments in one document</b> .....	<b>141</b>
<b>14.2</b>	<b>Dissuading statement, teaching-away, overcoming a prejudice</b> .....	<b>142</b>
<b>14.3</b>	<b>Claim with a 'disclosed' disclaimer</b> .....	<b>144</b>
<b>14.4</b>	<b>Technically irrelevant feature</b> .....	<b>146</b>
<b>14.5</b>	<b>Equivalent feature</b> .....	<b>146</b>
<b>14.6</b>	<b>Common general knowledge, implicit disclosure</b> .....	<b>147</b>
<b>14.7</b>	<b>Argumentation: collecting marks at feature level – novelty style</b> .....	<b>148</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>NOVELTY ISSUES</b> .....	<b>151</b>
<b>15.1</b>	<b>Single document, single embodiment</b> .....	<b>151</b>
15.1.1	Single document, combination with feature(s) of second document	152
15.1.2	Single embodiment; combination with feature from second embodiment	153
15.1.3	Disclosure of 'disclaimed' subject-matter; unworkable embodiment, enabling disclosure	154
15.1.4	Consulting a book to interpret a term	154
15.1.5	Implicit features or well-known equivalents	154
<b>15.2</b>	<b>Medicine claims</b> .....	<b>155</b>
<b>15.3</b>	<b>Second non-medical use</b> .....	<b>157</b>

<b>15.4</b>	<b>No novelty attack on claim 1 .....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>INVENTIVE STEP .....</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>16.1</b>	<b>Legal aspects.....</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>16.2</b>	<b>Principle of the problem-solution approach.....</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>16.3</b>	<b>Overview of the steps .....</b>	<b>169</b>
16.3.1	Step 1 Closest prior art	170
16.3.2	Step 2 Feature in the closest prior art	174
16.3.3	Step 3 Distinguishing feature:	174
16.3.4	Step 4 Effect	176
16.3.5	Step 5 Technical problem	177
16.3.6	Step 6 Reason for reading the second document	179
16.3.7	Step 7 Relevant feature(s) of other embodiment	182
16.3.8	Step 8 Incentive	182
16.3.9	Step 9 Combination, compatibility	183
16.3.10	Step 10 Remaining modification	184
<b>16.4</b>	<b>When to use an ‘alternative attack’ .....</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>16.5</b>	<b>Problem-solution approach: partial problem vs. mere juxtaposition.....</b>	<b>186</b>
<b>16.6</b>	<b>Mere-juxtaposition .....</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>16.7</b>	<b>Problem-definition for a combination of 3 documents .....</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>16.8</b>	<b>Examples from C-IV Annex of obvious inventions .....</b>	<b>193</b>
16.8.1	Application of known measures?	193
16.8.2	Obvious combination of features?	194
16.8.3	Obvious selection?	194
<b>16.9</b>	<b>Steps and sample sentences for inventive step in special situations .....</b>	<b>195</b>
16.9.1	Additional feature in the closest prior art	195
16.9.2	Additional feature from a ‘second’ document related to a feature already incorporated	195
16.9.3	Inventive step – Workshop modification, routine experiment	197
16.9.4	Single additional feature taken from a third document – Partial problem	198
16.9.5	Two additional features taken from a second and third document – Partial problems	199
<b>16.10</b>	<b>One document with multiple alternative embodiments .....</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>LINE OF ATTACK AND SELECTION OF ATTACKS .....</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>17.1</b>	<b>Claim-document map and line-of-attack matrix .....</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>17.2</b>	<b>Selecting the attacks.....</b>	<b>202</b>
<b>18</b>	<b>ANALYSIS METHODOLOGIES – DEALING WITH DEFINITIONS, FEATURES AND EFFECTS .....</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>18.1</b>	<b>Definition sheet .....</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>18.2</b>	<b>Effect sheet .....</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>18.3</b>	<b>Feature matrix.....</b>	<b>209</b>

18.3.1	The Feature-Effect matrix	209
18.3.2	Feature-effect matrix – Example C2002	213
18.3.3	Claim-Feature (novelty) matrix	214
18.3.3.1	Claim-Feature matrix – Short version (example C 2002)	215
18.3.3.2	Claim-Feature matrix – Glued onto Annex 1 (example C 2002)	216
18.3.3.3	Feature-effect matrix – Glued onto Annex 1 (example C 2002)	217
<b>18.4</b>	<b>The C-Book “Matrix claims – attacks” approach</b>	<b>218</b>
<b>18.5</b>	<b>Discussion of the methodologies</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>19</b>	<b>MAIN STEPS FOR APPROACHING PAPER C – SEQUENTIAL APPROACH</b>	<b>221</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>RESPONSE TO THE CLIENT’S LETTER</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>20.1</b>	<b>General</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>20.2</b>	<b>Where to answer the legal issues</b>	<b>226</b>
<b>20.3</b>	<b>Getting your marks for the legal issues</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>20.4</b>	<b>Tactics - General</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>20.5</b>	<b>Time management of the legal issues</b>	<b>232</b>
<b>21</b>	<b>FACTS AND ARGUMENTS</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>21.1</b>	<b>Structure of the Facts and Arguments</b>	<b>234</b>
21.1.1	Priority issues	234
21.1.2	Document list: annexes that you use, evidence	234
21.1.3	Attacks	235
<b>22</b>	<b>FREQUENTLY OCCURRING SPECIFIC LEGAL ISSUES</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>22.1</b>	<b>Opposition – General</b>	<b>237</b>
22.1.1	Who is entitled to oppose, straw-man, joint opposition	237
22.1.2	Succession/transfer of opposition	238
22.1.3	Opposition period	239
22.1.4	Extension of the 9 month period	239
22.1.5	Language of the opposition	239
22.1.6	Opposition fee, filing translation	240
22.1.7	Acceleration of Opposition	241
22.1.8	Territorial effect of the opposition	242
22.1.9	Partial revocation	242
22.1.10	Surrender or lapse of the patent	242
22.1.11	Intervention	243
22.1.12	Grounds for opposition	244
22.1.13	The notice of opposition	246
22.1.14	Extent of opposition	246
22.1.15	Facts / arguments / evidence	247
22.1.16	Members of the Opposition Division/Partiality	247
22.1.17	Interruption of proceedings	250
<b>22.2</b>	<b>Representation and accompanying persons</b>	<b>251</b>
22.2.1	Who may act before the EPO?	252
22.2.1.1	Natural/legal person	252
22.2.1.2	Representation by employee	252

22.2.1.3	Professional representation.....	253
22.2.2	Representation: authorisation, appointment	253
22.2.2.1	Appointment of a representative .....	253
22.2.2.2	Authorisation - R.152.....	254
22.2.3	Accompanying persons	254
<b>22.3</b>	<b>Claiming priority .....</b>	<b>254</b>
22.3.1	Right to priority	254
22.3.1.1	Type of application that can give rise to a right to priority; US provisional application .....	255
22.3.1.2	Filing date of priority application .....	255
22.3.1.3	Applicant or the successor in title; transfer of priority right .....	255
22.3.1.4	In or for Paris Convention State or WTO member.....	257
22.3.2	Claiming priority - General requirements, declaration, certified copy, translation	258
22.3.3	Time limit for filing the declaration of priority	258
22.3.3.1	Filing a new priority claim .....	258
22.3.3.2	Correcting the declaration of priority .....	259
22.3.3.3	Very late filing/correcting a declaration of priority.....	260
22.3.4	Deficiencies in the priority claim and loss of the priority right	260
22.3.5	Certified copy of the previous application (priority document)	261
22.3.6	Translation of the previous application	262
22.3.7	Abandonment of a priority claim	263
22.3.8	The priority period, re-establishment of rights in respect of the priority period	263
22.3.9	Claiming priority for a divisional application	264
<b>22.4</b>	<b>Procedural aspects of amendments.....</b>	<b>264</b>
22.4.1	Amendments by the applicant made of his own volition	266
22.4.2	Admissibility of amendments made by the applicant	266
22.4.3	Amendments filed in reply to a communication under Rule 71(3)	266
22.4.4	Exceptions to the requirement of Rule 71(4)	268
22.4.5	Amendment during opposition	268
<b>22.5</b>	<b>Correction of errors .....</b>	<b>269</b>
<b>22.6</b>	<b>Challenging a decision of a Board of Appeal .....</b>	<b>270</b>
<b>22.7</b>	<b>Claim dependency.....</b>	<b>270</b>
<b>23</b>	<b>FORM: NOTICE OF OPPOSITION.....</b>	<b>273</b>
<b>24</b>	<b>ANNEX.1 FEATURE MATRIX TEMPLATES .....</b>	<b>281</b>
<b>25</b>	<b>ANNEX.2 CLAIM-DOCUMENT AND LINES-OF-ATTACK TEMPLATE .....</b>	<b>287</b>